MASS MAILING

The attached document is part of a mass mailing received in Docket Nos. 96-83 and 95-59. The following list specifies the names of the parties filing formal comment. The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may contact an information technician in the Public Reference Room, Room 239 or 230 to view the documents.

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
	•	#13 FRIENDSHIP COURT
96-83	9/25/96	
96-83	9/25/96	#57 REEDWOOD MANOR
96-83	9/25/96	#75 FAIRVIEW APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	AEGON USA REALTY ADVISORS
96-83	9/25/96	AEGON USA REALTY MANAGEME
96-83	9/25/96	AMLI RESIDENTIAL PROPERTI
96-83	9/25/96	APPLE CREEK APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	AUTUMN WOOD APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	AUTUMN WOOD APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	BELMONT CROSSING APARTMEN
96-83	9/25/96	BELTWAY PLAZA
96-83	9/25/96	BEVERLY APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	BOULDER PLAZA APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	BRIARWOOD
96-83	9/25/96	BRIDGEPORT APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	BRIXTOM SQUARE
96-83	9/25/96	CANYON APATMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	CARDINAL WOODS
96-83	9/25/96	CARRIAGE SQUARE APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	CEDAR BROOKE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	CEDAR LAKE APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	CEDAR TREE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	CHAPEL HILL TOWERS
96-83	9/25/96	CHELSA PLACE
96-83	9/25/96	CORE RESOURCES, INC.
96-83	9/25/96	COTTONWOOD PARK CONDOMINI
96-83	9/25/96	COVINGTON POINTE
96-83	9/25/96	CROSS CREEK
96-83	9/25/96	CROSSING OF BELLVUE, THE
96-83	9/25/96	DINEYARDS, THE
96-83	9/25/96	EAST COAST PROPERTIES
96-83	9/25/96	FAIRWAY, THE
96-83	9/25/96	FALCON PROPERTIES
96-83	9/25/96	FENIMORE COURT
96-83	9/25/96	FORESTCITY MANAGEMENT
96-83	9/25/96	FOUR WINDS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	GARDEN COURT APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	GELTMORE, INC.
96-83	9/25/96	GREENBRIAR CONDOMINIUMS
96-83	9/25/96	GREENVILLE ARMS
96-83	9/25/96	HAETHORN PLAZA
96-83	9/25/96	HIGHGATE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	HOODVIEW APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	HRO INTERNATIONAL
96-83	9/25/96	INDIAN CREEK VILLAGE
96-83	9/25/96	IOWA ILLNOIS MANIR
96-83	9/25/96	JEANNE H. SEQUEIRA
96-83	9/25/96	LA COLINA
96-83	9/25/96	LAFAYETTE SQUARE
96-83	9/25/96	LAMDONTOWN APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	LAURELS, THE
96-83	9/25/96	LEMAY VILLAGE

D - aleat Neumbor	Bassint/Adapted/legued	Name of Applicant
	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	• •
96-83	9/25/96	LEMAY VILLAGE
96-83	9/25/96	LENOX CLUB, THE LENOX LUXARY APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	LEWINGER HAMILTON
96-83	9/25/96	LEXINGER HAWILTON LEXINGTON GLEN
96-83	9/25/96	MATHEWS COMPANY, THE
96-83	9/25/96 9/25/96	MAYOR MALLIS MANOR
96-83 96-83	9/25/96	MERRY LAND
96-83	9/25/96	MICHELSON ORGANIZATION, T
96-83	9/25/96	MIDTOWN TOWERS
96-83	9/25/96	MILLENDER CENTER
96-83	9/25/96	MILLENDER CENTER APARTMEN
96-83	9/25/96	MINK & MINK
96-83	9/25/96	NAPA VALLEY
96-83	9/25/96	OAK HILLS
96-83	9/25/96	OAK HILLS COUNTRY CLUB VI
96-83	9/25/96	OAKS OF NORTHGATE APARTME
96-83	9/25/96	OASIS RESIDENTIAL INC.
96-83	9/25/96	OCCIDENTAL DEVELOPMENT LT
96-83	9/25/96	ONE FRANKLIN TOWN
96-83	9/25/96	OÇONNOR GROUP, THE
96-83	9/25/96	PANORAMS TERRACE PENNYBROOK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96 9/25/96	PINEWOOD
96-83 96-83	9/25/96	PRESTON CREEK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	QUEENSWOOD APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	RCC GROUP, THE
96-83	9/25/96	REAL ESTATE BOARD OF NEW
96-83	9/25/96	REGENCY CLUB, THE
96-83	9/25/96	RIVER HILL
96-83	9/25/96	RIVERBEND APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	ROCK ROSE
96-83	9/25/96	SANDALWOOD MANAGEMENT INC
96-83	9/25/96	SANDALWOOD MANAGEMENT INC
96-83	9/25/96	SEQUOYAH VILLAGE ET.AL
96-83	9/25/96	SHERWOOD APT, ASSOC LTD
96-83	9/25/96	SPRING CREEK
96-83	9/25/96	STEEPLECHASE
96-83	9/25/96	STONE RIDGE
96-83	9/25/96	STONEWALL APARTMENT STONEY CREEK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96 9/25/96	STONEY CREEK APARTMENTS STONEY CREEK APARTMENTS
96-83 96-83	9/25/96	STRATFORD, THE
96-83	9/25/96	SUMMERHILL APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	SUMMERTIME APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	SUNSET VILLAGE
96-83	9/25/96	SURFSIDE TOWERS APARTMENT
96-83	9/25/96	SURREY APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	SUTTERS MILL
96-83	9/25/96	TERRACRINO AT MORGAN HILL
96-83	9/25/96	TEXACO HERITAGE PLAZA
96-83	9/25/96	TILLERY RIDGE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	TOWN & COUNTRY
96-83	9/25/96	TROLLEY PLAZA
96-83	9/25/96	TROWBRIDGE, THE
96-83	9/25/96	VENTURE VILLA
96-83	9/25/96	WALKER SPRINGS
96-83	9/25/96	WALLHALLA GARDENS I & II WATERFORD SQUARE
96-83	9/25/96 9/25/96	WATERFORD SQUARE WATERFORD VILLAGE APARTME
96-83	タ (とこ) タひ	WATERI OND VILLAGE AFARTIME

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
96-83	9/25/96	WESLEY VILLAGE RETIREMENT
96-83	9/25/96	WEST HILLS VILLAGE
96-83	9/25/96	WESTERN NATIONAL PROPERTY
96-83	9/25/96	WESTERN NATIONAL PROPERTY
96-83	9/25/96	WINGFIELD CLUB APARTMENTS
96-83	9/25/96	WINNSBORO ARMS

TOTAL: 118

September 23, 1996

DOUR

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations, 1B Docket No. 95-59 and Implementation of Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CS Docked No. 96-83

Dear Mr. Caton:

I am writing in response to the FCC's Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released on August 6, 1996, which asks for comments "with regard to placement of antennas on common areas of rental property, property not within the exclusive control of a person with an ownership interest, where a community association or landlord is legally responsible for maintenance and repair and can be liable for failure to perform its duties properly." We enclose six (6) copies of this letter in addition to this original.

Walker Springs Apartments is located in Knoxville, Tennessee. The property consists of 168 units and serves approximately 205 residents. The property is managed by Insignia Financial Group, a diversified real estate business and the largest multifamily manager, as well as multifamily owner, in the United States.

Granting persons who do not have an ownership interest in the property they rent a presumptive right to install a satellite dish or to demand a community-based signal will adversely affect the conduct of our business without justification and needlessly raise additional legal issues. We question whether the Commission has the authority to require us to allow the physical invasion of our property. We must retain the authority to control the use of our property, for many reasons.

The FCC should not extend regulations implementing Section 207 of the telecommunications Act of 1996 to situations in which the viewer does not have exclusive use or control and a direct ownership interest in the property where the antenna is to be installed, used and maintained. There are many factors such as safety, security, aesthetics, liability and insurance costs that a private property owner must consider and manage on a day-to-day basis. All of these factors are vital to the operation of an apartment community and cannot be discounted or property compensated for on a uniform basis.

The weight or wind resistance of a satellite and the quality of installation may create maintenance problems and - more importantly - a hazard to the safety of residents, building employees, and passers-by. Damage to the property caused by water seepage into the building interior, corrosion of metal mounts, or weakening of concrete could lead to safety hazards and very costly maintenance and repair. Slipshod or faulty contractors could create all kinds of safety problems. Even good installers cannot guarantee against weather damage.

721 Walker Springs Road • Knoxville, TN 37923 • (615) 693-7470

No. of Copies rec'd

Mr. William F. Caton Page 2 September 23, 1996

The technical limitations of satellite technology create problems because all of our residents may not be able to receive certain services. It is our understanding that satellites are only positioned in certain areas thus limiting access. A community-type satellite dish or antenna mounted on the roof of our properties is not necessarily the answer because of the great variation in condition and quality of roofs, and it may be totally impractical and uneconomical to provide service to a small universe of potential subscribers.

In conclusion, we urge the FCC to avoid interfering in our relationships with our residents. All of the potential problems we cite will adversely affect the safety and security of our properties as well as our bottom line and property rights.

Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

hincerely

Genine Rolen Property Manager

GR:kh